

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview

1. **Date of Submission:** 2010-09-17

2. **Agency:** 018

3. **Bureau:** 80

4. **Name of this Investment:** EDFacts

5. **Unique Project (Investment) Identifier (UPI):** 018-80-01-03-01-1010-00

6. **What kind of investment will this be in FY 2012?:** Mixed Life Cycle

- Planning
- Full Acquisition
- Operations and Maintenance
- Mixed Life Cycle
- Multi-Agency Collaboration

7. **What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?** FY2002

8.

- a. **Provide a brief summary of the investment and justification, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap, specific accomplishments expected by the budget year and the related benefit to the mission, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment.**

EDFacts is a program of systems and services that provides Internet-based collection for K-12 education performance data, ad hoc and standard reporting, commercial-off-the-shelf software for business process management and metadata collection, a data warehouse supplying data to other systems, and a commercial software survey package for collections not appropriate for general data collection. Services include data supplier conferences, assistance, custom reports and training. EDFacts centralizes K-12 data with other Department (ED) data assets, such as financial grant information, supporting improved analysis by program offices. EDFacts has eliminated education data collection silos, reduced collection burden on states, and made data-based decision-making possible for education programs. EDFacts makes possible the Department's FY07-12 strategic goal to achieve budget and performance integration to link funding decisions to results. In FY2010, we completed a data maturity assessment, strategy and plan for improving our data quality. We are implementing our approach in FY2011. We also improved our process for collecting metadata from the states, which reduced their data submission burden.

EDFacts supports President Obama's goal of delivering high-performance government by providing K-12 education performance information. For example, EDFacts makes data available to states to use in assessing progress of education in their state and districts, and to compare their progress against other states. Data is also provided to education authorizing committee members to support appropriation determinations. More information is available on EDFacts and the education communities we work with at: <http://www2.ed.gov/about/inits/ed/edfacts/index.html> and <http://communities.ccsso.org/web/EdFacts>. In January 2010, the Department added the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) to the EDFacts scope. Prior to this addition, the Office for Civil Rights had selected a commercial cloud-based solution for the CRDC collection to replace an obsolete survey tool. Information from the CRDC is at:

<http://ocrdata.ed.gov/> Our operational analysis, conducted in the fall of 2009 and updated in April 2010, revealed the need to modernize. As a result, modernization planning began at the end of FY10.

- b. **Provide any links to relevant websites that would be useful to gain additional information on the investment including links to GAO and IG reports.**

Title	Link
Council of Chief State School Officers EDFacts community site	http://communities.ccsso.org/web/EdFacts
Data Quality Campaign National Education Data Model: Standards Comparison Report	http://www.dataqualitycampaign.org/resources/details/650
EDFacts Aligned to Goals	http://www.ed.gov/open/plan/edfacts
EDUCATION'S DATA MANAGEMENT INITIATIVE October 2005 (GAO-06-6)	http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d066.pdf

9.

- a. **Provide the date of the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approval of this investment.**
2010-08-26
- b. **Provide the date of the most recent or planned approved project charter.** 2010-08-10

10. Contact information?

- a. **Program/Project Manager Name:** *
Phone Number: *
Email: *
- b. **Business Function Owner Name (i.e. Executive Agent or Investment Owner):** Santy, Ross
Phone Number: *
Email: *

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (choose only one per FAC-P/PM or DAWIA): Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding
(In millions of dollars)

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Planning & Acquisition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition(DME):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations, Maintenance, Disposition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal O&M and Disposition Costs (SS):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (not including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding
(In millions of dollars)

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Costs:									

2. Insert the number of years covered in the column “PY-1 and earlier”: 9

3. Insert the number of years covered in the column “BY+4 and beyond”: *

4. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2011 President’s Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

*

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Competed	Short description of acquisition
Awarded	9100	EDPEP09O0047	EDPEP09O0047	ED-PEP-09-R-0013	*	*	\$3.5	Firm Fixed Price	Y	2010-06-15	2013-09-23	Competitive Delivery Order	Independent verification and validation services and program management advice and assistance for EDFacts, a program within ED's Performance Information Management Service.
Awarded	9100	EDPEP09O0044	EDPEP09O0044	ED-CAM-09-R-0012	*	*	\$37.2	Firm Fixed Price	Y	2010-06-23	2014-09-29	Competitive Delivery Order	EDFacts Technology and Support Contract
Awarded	9100	EDPEP10O0075	EDPEP10O0075	ED-PEP-10-Q-0013	*	*	\$0.5	Firm Fixed Price	Y	2010-08-11	2011-05-31	Full and Open Competition	EDFacts Modernization Planning and Alternatives Analysis

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
Awarded	9100	EDOCR10O0114	EDOCR10O0114	ED-OCR-10-Q-0039	*	*	\$3.6	Firm Fixed Price	Y	2010-09-24	2015-09-23	Full and Open Competition	Provide support services for Office of Civil Rights reports database.
Awarded	9100	0001	0001		*	*	\$0.0	Firm Fixed Price	Y	2010-09-23	2011-08-31	Completed under SAP	EDFACTS State Information Education Support Services

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

*

3.

- a. Has an Acquisition Plan been developed? If yes, please answer the questions that follow *
- b. Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 *
- c. Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements *
- d. If "yes," enter the date of approval? *
- e. Is the acquisition plan consistent with your agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan? *
- f. Does the acquisition plan meet the requirements of EOs 13423 and 13514? *
- g. If an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation.

*

Part II: IT Capital Investments

Section A: General

1.
 - a. Confirm that the IT Program/Project manager has the following competencies: configuration management, data management, information management, information resources strategy and planning, information systems/network security, IT architecture, IT performance assessment, infrastructure design, systems integration, systems life cycle, technology awareness, and capital planning and investment control. yes
 - b. If not, confirm that the PM has a development plan to achieve competencies either by direct experience or education.

2. Describe the progress of evaluating cloud computing alternatives for service delivery to support this investment. The alternatives analysis is complete, and a selection has been made.

3. Provide the date of the most recent or planned Quality Assurance Plan 2009-06-23

4.
 - a. Provide the UPI of all other investments that have a significant dependency on the successful implementation of this investment.
 018-80-04-00-01-1030-00,018-80-04-00-01-1341-24,018-40-04-00-02-3125-00,018-50-01-02-02-2200-00
 ,018-50-01-02-01-1023-00,018-10-01-03-01-1000-00,018-80-01-05-02-1066-00,018-80-02-00-01-1018-0
 0
 - b. If this investment is significantly dependent on the successful implementation of another investment(s), please provide the UPI(s).

5. An Alternatives Analysis must be conducted for all Major Investments with Planning and Acquisition (DME) activities and evaluate the costs and benefits of at least three alternatives and the status quo. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date of the most recent or planned alternatives analysis for this investment. 2011-02-11

6. Risks must be actively managed throughout the lifecycle of the investment. The Risk Management Plan and risk register must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date that the risk register was last updated. 2011-04-25

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
FY 08 Acquisition (SEDC)		*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2008-05-13	2008-05-13	2008-06-17	2008-06-17	100.00%	100.00%
FY09 Operations & Maintenance (note: OM tasks are conducted primarily in FY09, but funding for FFP is FY 08)		*	\$8.6	\$8.6	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	2009-09-29	2009-09-29	100.00%	100.00%
FY09 Independent Verification and Validation of EDFacts operations and maintenance, including program office support. (FY08 funding for FFP, performed primarily in FY 09)		*	\$0.7	\$0.7	2008-09-24	2008-09-24	2009-09-23	2009-09-23	100.00%	100.00%
FY09 Administrative Data Option (funds transfer to cover WebEx and conferences) [not subject to earned value]		*	\$0.9	\$0.9	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY09 Other: Travel, Security Services (funds transfer), and miscellaneous technology (licenses, etc.)		*	\$0.2	\$0.3	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
[not subject to earned value]										
FY09 funded: End of contract transition: to ensure continuity and transfer of knowledge as new O&M contract begins		*	\$0.4	\$0.5	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	2009-12-29	2009-10-31	100.00%	100.00%
FY10 Base Year of EDFacts Technology and Support Services (including incentives)		*	\$5.1	\$4.5	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	2010-09-29		92.31%	92.31%
Electronic Application System for Indian Education (EASIE)		*	\$0.3	\$0.1	2009-10-15	2009-10-15	2011-09-29		44.96%	44.96%
FY10 EDFacts IVV Base Year to provide independent verification and validation services, including incentives		*	\$0.7	\$0.6	2009-09-24	2009-09-24	2010-09-23		93.96%	93.96%
FY10 Administrative Data Option funds transfer that provides for subtasks on NCES's contract with CCSSO to conduct semi-annual		*	\$0.9	\$0.0	2010-09-30		2010-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
training session and other state support activities that are necessary to successfully operate EDFacts. [not EV]										
FY10 Technology - for infrastructure and licenses not covered by EDUCATE contract [not subject to earned value]		*	\$0.2	\$0.2	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30	2010-08-31	100.00%	100.00%
FY10 Security/C&A/EA to support security and Enterprise Architecture processes as required by OMB and no longer funded by ED OCIO [not subject to earned value]		*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-10-01		2010-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
FY10 Travel [not subject to earned value]		*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30	2010-08-31	100.00%	100.00%
Civil Rights Data Collection		*	\$2.5	\$0.9	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	2012-02-10		38.93%	38.93%
FY11 Option Year 1 of EDFacts Technology and Support Services (including		*	\$5.1	\$0.0	2010-09-30		2011-09-29		0.00%	0.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
incentives)										
FY11 EDFacts IVV Option Year 1 to provide independent verification and validation services, including incentives		*	\$0.7	\$0.0	2010-09-24		2011-09-23		0.00%	0.00%
FY11 Administrative Data Option funds transfer that provides for subtasks on NCES's contract with CCSO to conduct semi-annual training session and other state support activities that are necessary to successfully operate EDFacts. [not EV]		*	*	*	2011-09-30	*	2011-09-30	*	*	*
FY11 Technology - for infrastructure and licenses not covered by EDUCATE contract [not subject to earned value]		*	\$0.2	\$0.0	2010-10-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
FY11 Security/C&A/EA to provide for costs associated with conducting		*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2010-10-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
system security and enterprise architecture processes as required by OMB and no longer funded by ED OCIO [not EV]										
FY11 Travel [not subject to earned value]		*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2010-10-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
FY11 Risk Reserve		*	\$3.4	\$0.0	2010-10-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
FY12 Option Year 2 of ED Facts Technology and Support Services (including incentives)		*	*	*	2011-09-30	*	2012-09-29	*	*	*
Civil Rights data Collection		*	\$6.4	\$1.1	2009-12-23	2009-12-23	2014-02-10		16.69%	16.69%
FY 10 Risk Reserve		*	\$2.3	\$0.0	2010-09-30		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
1.1 FY 09 Independent verification and validation of ED Facts operations and maintenance, including program office support.	SS	*	\$0.6	\$0.6	2009-09-24	2009-09-24	2010-09-23	2010-09-23	100.00%	100.00%
1.2 FY09 Administrative data option (funds transfer to cover WebEx training and data	SS	*	\$0.9	\$0.9	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
supplier conferences)										
1.5 FY09 Base year technology and support services to operate and maintain EDFacts	SS	*	\$4.8	\$4.8	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	2010-09-29	2010-09-29	100.00%	100.00%
1.6 Performance incentives	SS	*	\$0.3	\$0.2	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	2010-09-29	2010-09-29	100.00%	100.00%
1.8.1.1 FY09 Civil Rights Data Collection: Prepare for survey, create survey questions, launch advance web site, create quality plan, and begin survey Part 1, including ODC (D1-12 and 24-33)	DME	*	\$1.6	\$1.6	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	2010-09-16	2010-09-16	100.00%	100.00%
1.8.2.1 FY09 Civil Rights Data Collection process Part 1 data and conduct Part 2 (D34-48)	SS	*	\$0.7	\$0.7	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	2011-04-18	2011-04-18	100.00%	100.00%
1.8.2.2 FY09 Civil Rights Data Collection process Part 2 data, produce national and state projections (D49-67)	SS	*	\$0.3	\$0.0	2011-04-18	2011-04-18	2012-02-10		4.36%	4.36%
2.1.1 FY10 EDFacts	DME	*	\$0.3	\$0.3	2010-08-11	2010-08-11	2011-02-11		100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Modernization Planning to investigate, analyze, develop alternatives and plan to address National Information Exchange Model, cloud computing, and HSPD-12 for EDFacts.										
2.1.2 FY10 EDFacts requirements and design based on outcome of alternatives analysis	DME	*	\$0.1	\$0.1	2010-09-22	2010-09-22	2011-05-31		88.05%	88.05%
2.2.1 FY10 Create table spaces, documents processes, support installation, and load data for SAS business intelligence; transfer funds to RMS owner	DME	*	\$0.1	\$0.0	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	2011-12-22		40.17%	40.17%
2.3.1 FY10 EDFacts operations and maintenance	SS	*	\$5.9	\$3.5	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	2011-09-29		58.52%	58.52%
2.3.2 FY10 EMAPS/DU Task Order 3: increases resources to	SS	*	\$0.5	\$0.5	2010-04-01	2010-04-01	2010-09-29	2010-09-29	100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
support EDFacts metadata collection and data usage (reports) to accommodate increasing report demand, includes other direct charges										
2.3.3 FY10 Independent verification and validation services for EDFacts, including project management office support	SS	*	\$0.6	\$0.4	2010-09-24	2010-09-24	2011-09-23		60.16%	60.16%
2.3.4 FY10 Incentives for contractor performance	SS	*	\$0.2	\$0.1	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	2011-09-29		58.52%	58.52%
2.3.5 FY10 Travel to attend bi-annual conferences with data suppliers and stakeholders and to attend or present at related education conferences.	SS	*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
2.3.6 FY10 State Education Information Support Services	SS	*	\$1.2	\$0.8	2010-09-01	2010-09-01	2011-08-31		66.48%	66.48%
2.3.8.2 FY10 EASIE 4.0 summer	SS	*	\$0.1	\$0.1	2010-07-27	2010-07-27	2010-09-24	2010-09-24	100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
reopening of grant applications										
2.3.8.3 FY10 EASIE 5.0 registration, help services, infrastructure support for Indian Education grant applications	SS	*	\$0.3	\$0.2	2010-09-02	2010-09-02	2011-09-29		61.48%	61.48%
2.3.9.2.1 FY10 Civil Rights Data Collection create design for Parts 1 and 2 on cloud, pilot results, and launch tool for Parts 1 and 2	DME	*	\$1.4	\$1.4	2009-12-23	2009-12-23	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	100.00%	100.00%
2.3.9.3.1 FY10 Civil Rights Data usage Support Services	SS	*	\$1.7	\$0.7	2010-09-24	2010-09-24	2011-09-23		41.62%	42.56%
3.2.1 FY11 National Information Exchange Model/cloud/HSP D-12/EDFacts data model modernization task to update the EDFacts data model for the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, acquire selected alternative and comply with HSPD-12.	DME	*	*	*	2011-09-01	*	2012-08-31	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
3.3.1 FY11 EDFacts operation and maintenance Option Year 2	SS	*	*	*	2011-09-30	*	2012-09-29	*	*	*
3.3.2 FY11 Independent verification and validation services for EDFacts, including project management office support.	SS	*	*	*	2011-09-24	*	2012-09-23	*	*	*
3.3.3 FY11 Incentives for contractor performance	SS	*	*	*	2011-09-30	*	2012-09-29	*	*	*
3.3.4 FY11 Travel funds to pay to attend conferences with data suppliers and to attend or present at related education conferences	SS	*	\$0.0	\$0.0	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	2011-09-30		58.24%	58.24%
3.3.6 FY11 State Education Information Support Services	SS	*	*	*	2011-09-30	*	2011-09-30	*	*	*
3.3.7 FY11 Technology funds to pay for software licenses and miscellaneous technology	SS	*	\$0.2	\$0.3	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	2011-09-30		90.00%	90.00%
3.3.8 FY11	SS	*	\$0.1	\$0.0	2011-02-01		2011-09-23		38.03%	38.03%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Security/Certification and Accreditation to transfer to pay for C&A through the Department's Office of the Chief Officer or their contractors										
3.3.9.3.1 FY11 Civil Rights Data Collection: conduct the data collection	SS	*	\$3.0	\$0.0	2011-06-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
4.2.1 FY12 National Information Exchange Model/cloud: to acquire the next useful segment(s) of the selected alternative based on the previously completed requirements and design	DME	*	*	*	2012-09-30	*	2013-09-30	*	*	*
4.3.1 FY12 ED Facts operations and maintenance	SS	*	*	*	2012-09-30	*	2013-09-29	*	*	*
4.3.2 FY12 Independent verification and validation for ED Facts and project management office support	SS	*	*	*	2012-09-24	*	2013-09-23	*	*	*
4.3.3 FY12	SS	*	*	*	2012-09-30	*	2013-09-29	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Incentives for contractor performance										
4.3.4 FY12 Travel funds to pay for conferences with data suppliers and to attend or present at related education conferences	SS	*	*	*	2011-10-01	*	2012-09-30	*	*	*
4.3.5 FY12 Funds for WebEx training and conferences for K-12 data suppliers	SS	*	*	*	2012-09-30	*	2012-09-30	*	*	*
4.3.6 FY12 Technology funds to pay for software licenses and miscellaneous technology	SS	*	*	*	2011-10-01	*	2012-09-30	*	*	*
4.3.7 FY12 Security/C&A funds to pay for Certification and Accreditation that is performed through the Department's Office of the Chief Information Office or their contractors assuming implementation of a useful segment from the alternatives	SS	*	*	*	2011-10-01	*	2012-09-30	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
analysis										
4.3.8 FY12 HSPD-12 operations and maintenance to maintain compliance when there are new personnel or for lost PIV devices; to audit and maintain HSPD-12 inventory/databases	SS	*	*	*	2011-10-01	*	2012-09-30	*	*	*
4.3.10.3 Civil Rights Data Collection operations and maintenance, conduct survey	SS	*	*	*	2012-06-01	*	2012-09-30	*	*	*
5.2 FY13 EDFacts acquisition for funding to patch the current status quo system to ensure it keeps running using risks applied to costs as the system ages	DME	*	*	*	2013-09-30	*	2014-09-29	*	*	*
5.3 FY13 EDFacts operations and maintenance assuming a new contractor at higher rates and larger scope of work due to risks	SS	*	*	*	2013-09-30	*	2014-09-29	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
and growth, an independent verification and validation contractor, travel, conferences, technology, security										
6.2 FY14 ED Facts acquisition for funding to patch the current status quo system to ensure it keeps running using risks applied to costs as the system ages	DME	*	*	*	2014-09-30	*	2015-09-29	*	*	*
6.3 FY14 ED Facts operations and maintenance assuming a new contractor at higher rates and larger scope of work due to risks and growth, an independent verification and validation contractor, travel, conferences, technology, security	SS	*	*	*	2014-09-30	*	2015-09-29	*	*	*
7.2 FY15 ED Facts acquisition for funding to patch	DME	*	*	*	2015-09-30	*	2016-09-29	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
the current status quo system to ensure it keeps running using risks applied to costs as the system ages										
7.3 FY15 ED Facts operations and maintenance assuming a new contractor at higher rates and larger scope of work due to risks and growth, an independent verification and validation contractor, travel, conferences, technology, security	SS	*	*	*	2015-09-30	*	2016-09-29	*	*	*
8.2 FY16 ED Facts acquisition for funding to patch the current status quo system to ensure it keeps running using risks applied to costs as the system ages	DME	*	*	*	2016-09-30	*	2017-09-29	*	*	*
8.3 FY16 ED Facts operations and maintenance assuming a new contractor at	SS	*	*	*	2016-09-30	*	2017-09-29	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
-------------------------	-----------	--	--------------------	-------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------

higher rates and larger scope of work due to risks and growth, an independent verification and validation contractor, travel, conferences, technology, security

2. If the investment cost, schedule, or performance variances are not within 10 percent of the current baseline, provide a complete analysis of the reasons for the variances, the corrective actions to be taken, and the most likely estimate at completion. no

3. For mixed lifecycle or operations and maintenance investments an Operational Analysis must be performed annually. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Insert the date of the most recent or planned operational analysis.

2011-02-07

4. Did the Operational analysis cover all 4 areas of analysis: Customer Results, Strategic and Business Results, Financial Performance, and Innovation?

yes

Section C: Financial Management Systems

Table II.C.1: Financial Management Systems			
System(s) Name	System acronym	Type of Financial System	BY Funding
*	*	*	*

Section D: Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (For Multi-Agency Collaborations only)

Table II.D.1. Customer Table:	
Customer Agency	Joint exhibit approval date
NONE	

Table II.D.2. Shared Service Providers		
Shared Service Provider (Agency)	Shared Service Asset Title	Shared Service Provider Exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2011)
*	*	*

Table II.D.3. For IT Investments, Partner Funding Strategies (\$millions):							
Partner Agency	Partner exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2012)	CY Monetary Contribution	CY “In-Kind” Contribution	CY Fee-for-Service	BY Monetary Contribution	BY “In-Kind” Contribution	BY Fee-for-Service
NONE							

Table II.D.4. Legacy Systems Being Replaced		
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	Current UPI	Date of the System Retirement
*	*	*

Section E: Performance Information

Table I.E.1a. Performance Metric Attributes

Measurement Area (For IT Assets)	Measurement Grouping (For IT Assets)	Measurement Indicator	Reporting Frequency	Unit of Measure	Performance Measure Direction	Baseline	Year Baseline Established for this measure (Origination Date)
Mission and Business Results	Information Sharing	124 Congressional Profiles are available to congresspersons on authorizing and appropriations committees	annual	date	stable	No congressional profiles were available prior to EDFacts.	2010-09-30
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	124 Congressional Profiles are available to congresspersons on authorizing and appropriations committees not later than August 1 of each year.	July 16, 2010	Met	2010-09-17
Mission and Business Results	Information Sharing	124 Congressional Profiles are available to congresspersons on authorizing and appropriations committees	annual	date	stable	No congressional profiles were available prior to EDFacts.	2010-09-30
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	124 Congressional Profiles are available to congresspersons on authorizing and appropriations committees not later than August 1 of each year.		Not Due	2010-09-17
Mission and Business Results	Information Sharing	124 Congressional Profiles are available to congresspersons on authorizing and appropriations committees	annual	date	stable	No congressional profiles were available prior to EDFacts.	2010-09-30

Results		Profiles are available to congresspersons on authorizing and appropriations committees	profiles were available prior to EDFacts.				
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	124 Congressional Profiles are available to congresspersons on authorizing and appropriations committees not later than August 1 of each year.		Not Due	2010-09-17
Mission and Business Results	Information Management	Aggregate state capability for submissions by school year (SY) [LEAD006]	monthly	percentage of states capable of submitting electronically	up	In FY 2006, capabilities were: SY 03-04: 67%; SY 04-05: 73%; SY 05-06: 83%	2005-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2007	3% over prior SY	As of 8/21/2007: SY 06-07: 92%	Met	2010-09-17
Mission and Business Results	Information Management	Aggregate state capability for submissions by school year (SY) [Report # LEAD006]	monthly	percentage of states capable of submitting data electronically	up	SY 2003-04: 69%	2006-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2006	5% over prior SY	SY 2005-06, 85%; SY 2004-05, 73%	Met	2010-09-17
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Average score on annual partner satisfaction survey.	annual	customer satisfaction	up	Satisfied	2007-02-15
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated

Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Average score on year-end annual partner satisfaction survey.	2006	Annual average score of very satisfied or better	Feb. 2007: Average score is better than very satisfied	Met	2010-09-17
			annual	customer satisfaction	up--see also http://www2.ed.gov/about/reports/annual/gss/index.html	Better than very satisfied score of FY 2006	2007-02-15
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
Customer Results	Response Time	Average speed to answer inbound calls from SEA partners during hours of operation. (Data is collected daily and reported at the end of each week.)	2007	Maintain or improve on score of better than very satisfied	Overall, rated Extremely Satisfied or Very Satisfied in 63.3 percent of the questions; SEAs - Extremely Satisfied and Very Satisfied or 91.7 percent support for the PSC and their work with the states; EIMAC/CCSSO - over 57 percent are satisfied.	Met	2010-09-17
			monthly	seconds to answer call	stable	As of October 20, 2006, 4 seconds.	2006-10-20
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
Customer Results	Response Time	Average speed to answer inbound calls from SEA partners during hours of operation. (Data is collected daily and reported weekly.)	2007	Maintain 4 seconds or better	8/10/2007: 4 seconds	Met	2010-09-17
			monthly	seconds to answer call	stable	As of October 31, 2005, the average speed to answer incoming calls was 4 seconds.	2005-10-31
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated

			2006	4 seconds or better	As of 10/20/2006, 4 seconds	Met	2010-09-17
Customer Results	Response Time	Average speed to answer inbound calls from State partners during hours of operation.	monthly	seconds to answer call	stable	July 2007, 5 seconds within queue.	2005-10-31
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2008	20 seconds to answer the phone. Target was changed starting with Q1 FY 08 to address the risk that too much focus would be on answering time during peak periods rather than addressing customer issues.	As of 9/26/2008, 5 seconds to answer phone calls.	Met	2010-09-17
Customer Results	Response Time	Average speed to answer inbound calls from State partners during hours of operation.	monthly	seconds to answer call	stable	As of 9/26/2008, 5 seconds to answer phone call	2005-10-31
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	20 seconds to answer the phone	October 16, 2009: 7 seconds to answer phone call	Met	2010-09-17
Customer Results	Response Time	Average speed to answer inbound calls from State partners during hours of operation.	monthly	seconds to answer call	stable	As of October 31, 2005, the average speed to answer incoming calls was 4 seconds.	2005-10-31
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	20 seconds to answer	Week ending 9/24/2010:	Met	2011-01-26

			the phone	19 seconds			
Customer Results	Response Time	Average speed to answer inbound calls from State partners during hours of operation.	monthly	seconds to answer call	stable	As of October 31, 2005, the average speed to answer incoming calls was 4 seconds.	2005-10-31
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	20 seconds to answer the phone	Week ending 4/29/2011: 9 seconds	Met	2011-05-25
Customer Results	Response Time	Average speed to answer inbound calls from State partners during hours of operation.	monthly	seconds to answer call	stable	As of October 31, 2005, the average speed to answer incoming calls was 4 seconds.	2005-10-31
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	20 seconds to answer the phone		Not Due	2010-09-17
Technology	Costs	Average unit cost (weighted by quantity) for Cognos 8 licenses in each fiscal year	annual	Average unit cost (weighted by quantity) for Cognos 8 licenses in each fiscal year	Up	\$544.67	2010-08-10
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	To rise no faster than the rate of inflation projected in analytics section of current budget, currently 2%		Not Due	2010-09-17
Mission and Business Results	Lifecycle/Change Management	EDEN compliance with ED enterprise architecture.	semi-annual	checklist compliance percentage	up	100% compliant	2006-04-30
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated

			2006	100% compliant	As of October 2006, 100%	Met	2010-09-17
Mission and Business Results	Lifecycle/Change Management	EDEN compliance with ED enterprise architecture.	semi-annual	checklist compliance percentage	up	In FY 2006, 100% compliant	2006-04-30
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2007	100% compliant	As of Feb 2007, the system is 100% compliant with the EA	Met	2010-09-17
Processes and Activities	Knowledge Management	EDEN Knowledge Management compliance with ED's policies, directives, and federal acts and statutes that govern records management.	semi-annual	checklist compliance percentage	up	Baseline established for period 10/1/05 to 3/31/2006: 93.5%	2006-03-31
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2006	100% compliant	Oct 05 - 96.6%; April 30, 2006: 93.5%	Not Met	2010-09-17
Processes and Activities	Knowledge Management	EDEN Knowledge Management compliance with ED's policies, directives, and federal acts and statutes that govern records management.	semi-annual	checklist compliance percentage	up	Baseline established for 10/1/2005 to 3/31/2006: 93.5%	2006-03-31
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2007	100% compliant. Due to contract change, data will be available April and September	April 2007: 96%	Not Met	2010-09-17
Mission and Business Results	Information Management	EDFacts reports response time from a cross-section of reports.	monthly	seconds to receive a requested report	stable or down	19 seconds as of August 2007	2008-08-30

			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2008	Not to exceed 30 seconds average response time across reports.	For August 2008, 25.4 seconds response time.	Met	2010-09-17
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Improvements in State Education Agency data transmission process [LEAD003]	monthly	transmission success to total transmissions	up	The average for fiscal year 2007 is 43.57% success rate in transmissions for all states.	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2008	Improvement of 5% annual average success rate over prior fiscal year.	The average for FY 2008 is 60% success rate.	Met	2010-09-17
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Improvements in State Education Agency data transmission process [LEAD003]	monthly	transmission success to overall transmissions	up	FY 2008 = 60% success rate	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	Maintain or improve upon last year's results.	FY 2009 = 63.6%	Met	2010-09-17
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Improvements in State Education Agency data transmission process [LEAD003]]	monthly	transmission successes to total transmissions	up	60%	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	60% or better	Cumulative success rate for FY 2010 is 61.8%	Met	2011-01-26
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Improvements in State Education Agency data transmission process	monthly	transmission successes to total transmissions	up	60%	2007-10-01

		[LEAD003]]					
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	60% or better	Through 4/30/2011: 66.2%	Met	2011-05-25
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Improvements in State Education Agency data transmission process [LEAD003]]	monthly	transmission successes to total transmissions	up	60%	2007-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	60% or better		Not Due	2010-09-17
Mission and Business Results	Information Management	Percent increase in users' ad hoc report usage over prior year. (New, replaces prior reports measure.)	quarterly	rport run counts	up	3,043 ad hoc report runs in FY 2008	2008-09-30
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	Maintain or improve upon prior year's results.	Actual: Ad hoc usage for FY09 Q4 increased over the same FY08 quarter by 224% and cumulative usage for FY09 is 245% over FY08 through Quarter 4.	Met	2010-09-17
Technology	Technology Improvement	Percent increase of canned reports available to users that are used by users and percent increase in usage. (New, replaces prior reports measure.)	quarterly	count of individual reports that had been run by a user during the quarter	up	474 reports that were used by users in FY 2008 15, 822 runs of canned reports in FY 2008	2008-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	Maintain or improve	Basic reports available	Met	2010-09-17

upon prior year's results. and used by users in Q3 of FY 09 increased over the same FY 08 quarter by 206%. Usage increased by 122% through Q3 of FY 09 compared to same quarters of FY 08.

Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Percent states submitting only through EDEN by collection. (Collection due dates and certification are determined by Program Offices.)	monthly	percentage of collections by state submitted electronically	up	Zero states submitted only through EDEN for all collections (October 2005).	2005-10-01
------------	---------------------------------	--	---------	---	----	---	------------

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2006	100% for all collections by 11/1/2007, excluding 2-year extension exceptions approved by the Secretary.	10/06 CRDC 100%; July 2006: 1810-0614: 100%; 1820-0517: 46.2%; 1820-0043:46.2%; 1820-0521: 12.6%	Met	2010-09-17

Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Percent states submitting only through EDEN by collection. (Collection due dates and certification are determined by Program Offices.)	monthly	percentage of collections by state submitted electronically	up	10/06 CRDC 100%; July 2006: 1810-0614: 100%; 1820-0517: 46.2%; 1820-0043:46.2%; 1820-0521: 12.6%	2006-10-01
------------	---------------------------------	--	---------	---	----	--	------------

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2007	100% for all collections by 11/1/2007, excluding 2 year extension exceptions approved by the Secretary.	As of July 07: 1820-0043, 65.4%; 1820-0517, 61.5%; 1820-0521, 63.5%. EASIE collection 100%. Title III Biennial Report 100%. CCD 100%	Met	2010-09-17

Technology	Efficiency	Percentage of critical trouble tickets closed or with an action plan to fix in three days.	quarterly	critical problem action time	up	95% were closed or had an action plan within 3 days for FY 2007	2006-10-01
------------	------------	--	-----------	------------------------------	----	---	------------

			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Percentage of partners satisfied that their issue has been resolved	2008	Maintain or improve upon FY 2007 baseline of 95%	In August 2008, there were no trouble tickets. In the prior period, 100% were closed or had an action plan within 3 days.	Met	2010-09-17
			monthly	Percentage of satisfied partners to all partners requesting issue resolution.	up	To be established in FY 2011 (average for the FY)	2011-09-30
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Percentage of partners satisfied that their issue has been resolved	2011	90% satisfaction	Week ending 4/29/2011: 100%	Met	2011-05-25
			monthly	Percentage of satisfied partners to all partners requesting issue resolution.	up	To be established in FY 2011 (average for the FY)	2011-09-30
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
Mission and Business Results	Information Sharing	State profiles are available for use by customers (Department of Education, Congress, states, public) for planning, program monitoring and policymaking as well as to education non-profit and for-profit entities.	2012	90% satisfaction		Not Due	2010-09-17
			semi-annual	date	stable	No profiles were available prior to EDFacts.	2010-09-30
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
Mission and Business Results	Information Sharing	State profiles are available for use by customers (Department of Education, Congress, states, public) for planning, program monitoring and policymaking as well as to education non-profit and for-profit entities.	2010	51 State Profiles are updated twice a year:	State profiles were released on March 26,	Met	2010-09-17

			before Easter of each year and before August 1 of each year.					2010 and July 2010.
Mission and Business Results	Information Sharing	State profiles are available for use by customers (Department of Education, Congress, states, public) for planning, program monitoring and policymaking as well as to education non-profit and for- profit entities.	semi-annual	date	stable	No profiles were available prior to EDFacts.	2010-09-30	
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target “Met” or “Not Met”	Last Updated	
			2011	51 State Profiles are updated twice a year: before Easter of each year and before August 1 of each year.	State Profiles available on 4/11/2011	Met	2011-05-25	
Mission and Business Results	Information Sharing	State profiles are available for use by customers (Department of Education, Congress, states, public) for planning, program monitoring and policymaking as well as to education non-profit and for- profit entities.	semi-annual	date	stable	No profiles were available prior to EDFacts.	2010-09-30	
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target “Met” or “Not Met”	Last Updated	
			2012	51 State Profiles are updated twice a year: before Easter of each year and before August 1 of each year.		Not Due	2010-09-17	
Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	Timeliness of key education data	monthly	percentage of key education data received by due date	up	To be established at the end of the school year collection period for SY08-09.	2010-09-30	

			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	Timeliness of key education data	2010	90% of key data is received by the state's planned target date for each school year	SY 08-09 is 94.74%	Met	2011-01-26
			monthly	percentage of key education data received by due date	up	94.73% of key data is timely through Sept. 2010	2010-09-30
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	Timeliness of key education data	2011	90% of key data is received by the state's planned target date for each school year	SY 09-10 through 4/30/2011: 92.8%	Met	2011-05-25
			monthly	percentage of key education data received by due date	up	To be established at the end of the school year collection period for SY08-09.	2010-09-30
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	Timeliness of key education data	2012	90% of key data is received by the state's planned target date for each school year		Not Due	2010-09-17

* - Indicates data is redacted.